Revisiting \( \pi \) backbonding: the influence of d orbitals on metal–CO bonds and ligand red shifts†
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The concept of \( \pi \) backbonding is widely used to explain the complex stabilities and CO stretch frequency red shifts of transition metal carbonyls. We theoretically investigate a non-transition metal 18-electron carbonyl complex (Mg(CO)\(_8\)) and find a pronounced CO red shift without metal–carbon \( \pi \) bonds. Moreover, we use truncated basis sets on the "honorary" and true transition metals Ca and Ti in Ca(CO)\(_8\) and [Ti(CO)\(_8\)]\(^{2+}\) complexes to probe the influence of d functions on carbonyl complex stability, C–O bond strength, metal-to-ligand charge transfer and bond order compared to hypothetical complexes without metal-d contributions. We find that the occurrence of metal–ligand \( \pi \) bonds through metal d functions greatly enhances the complex stabilities on one hand but only slightly affects the CO red shift on the other hand, compared to metal carbonyl systems with no d contributions. We also highlight the discrepancy between the formal oxidation state of 0 of Mg and Ca despite them carrying a significant positive charge.

1. Introduction

The notion of donor and acceptor bonds is a ubiquitous concept appearing in many areas of chemistry and is widely used for the rationalization of otherwise not easily understandable chemical properties, especially of complex compounds.1–4 Using an ad hoc concept of frontier orbital interactions, it lays out the foundation for more specific models like the one proposed by Dewar, Chatt and Duncanson5,6 for the binding between alkene ligands and transition metal (TM) centers or \( \pi \) backbonding between TM atoms and carbonyl (CO) ligands. To this day, the common consensus for the TM–CO bonding picture remains the synergistic backbonding: the influence of d functions, which is not necessarily a good basis for the compound), a point previously raised by Cortes-Guzman and Bader7 who rather based their discussion of the TM–CO bond on the topological analysis of electronic density in real space.

Another common concept to classify bonding in complex compounds based on the analysis of molecular orbitals is the one of formal oxidation states (FOS). CO is commonly classified as L-type ligand, meaning that the TM–CO bond is treated as completely dative in nature and no electron transfer in the formal oxidation state framework is taking place.8,9 However, this concept does not necessarily reflect real-space electron density distribution, leading to situations in which a significant depletion of electron density around the metal center can take place (and in turn an increase around the C and O nuclei), although formally (in the FOS picture) no charge is transferred. Since the internal energy of the complex in turn depends on the specific electron density distribution, it is this real-space charge transfer to which the differences in chemical and physical complexes the \( \pi \) backbonding is just said to be of less importance than the primary \( \sigma \) bond, resulting in no red-shift or even leading to a blue shift). This traditional picture is very well investigated and supported by a large number of quantum chemical studies published over the course of decades, employing different computational methods on different conceptual levels and for a wide variety of TM carbonyls.9–13 However, analyses of the bond character in TM carbonyls are often based on concepts and measures operating with the use of molecular orbitals (MOs), non-unique sets of one-electron wave functions which do not directly correspond to any physical observable (and furthermore often built up from atomic one-electron basis functions, which is not necessarily a good basis for the compound), a point previously raised by Cortes-Guzman and Bader7 who rather based their discussion of the TM–CO bond on the topological analysis of electronic density in real space.
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properties (complex stabilities, CO stretch frequencies etc.) of carbonyl compounds can be assigned. The correlation between CO stretch frequencies and transferred real-space charge to the ligands as well as the stabilizing effect of this transfer have been previously reported in literature.13,15

Interestingly, in a recent investigation, Wu et al. were able to isolate the octacarbonyl complexes of Ca, Sr and Ba in a low-temperature neon matrix.8 Although not d block elements themselves, these “honorary” transition metals were found to form compounds whose many-body wave functions have large admixtures of configuration state functions with d occupations due to a strong static correlation.10 This influence of d contributions to the wave function of higher group II elements provides an elegant way to understand the formation of their carbonyl complexes in the existing framework of MO theory and π backbonding, normally confined to d block element complexes. In this work, we investigate the influence of d contributions to electronic structure, binding energies and CO red shift with Mg d states is expected to be insignificant for the 18-electron carbonyl complexes under the same approximations.22 and has been recently utilized for the Ca(CO)8 complex to determine the TM character of the central atom. The latter work was part of an exchange following the reported isomeric Ca(CO)6 and [Ti(CO)6]2+ were performed with the program package Gaussian 1629 using the M06-2X hybrid density functional30 in spin-unrestricted calculations, in vacuum. We used this functional in accordance to the previous investigation of group II carbynols, and M06-2X has been generally found to be the best choice from the Minnesota functional family for main group thermochemistry, while M06 performs superiorly for transition metal thermochemistry.10 Since we are concerned with both compound types in this investigation, we compared the two functionals as well as the ubiquitous B3LYP31–34 density functional. Deviations in formation energy and average CO stretch frequencies were found to be minor in for [Ti(CO)6]2+, indicating that M06-2X is a robust choice for this complex as well, while M06 tends to overestimate the main group carbonyl thermochemistry compared to B3LYP and M06-2X. For details see the section Functional test in the ESI. A correlation-consistent Dunning cc-pVQZ basis set25–38 was used on all atoms, since this basis set type includes higher angular momentum basis functions as polarization components which allows to probe the eventual influence of d functions even for elements without valence d orbitals. Total and binding energies were found to be reasonably converged at this basis set size, for details see the section Basis set test in the ESI.† Convergence thresholds were set to 10−6 E0 for the total energy and 1.5 × 10−5 E0/a0 for the interatomic forces. In accordance with ref. 8 the structures were initialized in cubic (Oh) and square antiprismatic (D4d) geometry in triplet and singlet spin state, respectively, to find the correct ground state geometry. For comparison between different complexes, the average CO stretch frequencies were calculated from the eight CO stretching modes, whose reduced masses were found to deviate not more than 1% from that of free CO and were hence considered as sufficiently decoupled from the other degrees of freedom. Values for the CO stretch frequencies will be given as difference to the calculated CO stretch frequency of free carbon monoxide, ν0, which was found at 2279 cm−1 (exp.:39 2143 cm−1) and with a C=O bond length of 1.1193 Å (exp.:40 1.1282). The sometimes used scaling of calculated carbonyl frequencies by the ratio of free CO stretch frequency values from experiment and theory39 was omitted here, since we are only interested in the relative qualitative behavior of the CO stretch frequencies and not in comparisons to experimental values. To determine the influence of the metal d orbitals, the basis set on the central atom was successively truncated by removal of orbital sets with different radial extent and nodal structure from the basis set definition of Ca, Mg and Ti for the calculation of ground state energies, frequencies and electronic structure. The Bader charges and delocalization indices were computed with the Dgrid-4.7 program.42

2. Results and discussion

Electronic structure computations, geometry optimizations and vibrational analyses of the isoelectronic complexes Mg(CO)6, Ca(CO)6 and [Ti(CO)6]2+ were performed with the program package Gaussian 16 using the M06-2X hybrid density functional30 in spin-unrestricted calculations, in vacuum. We used this functional in accordance to the previous investigation of group II carbonyls, and M06-2X has been generally found to be the best choice from the Minnesota functional family for main group thermochemistry, while M06 performs superiorly for transition metal thermochemistry.10 Since we are concerned with both compound types in this investigation, we compared the two functionals as well as the ubiquitous B3LYP31–34 density functional. Deviations in formation energy and average CO stretch frequencies were found to be minor in for [Ti(CO)6]2+, indicating that M06-2X is a robust choice for this complex as well, while M06 tends to overestimate the main group carbonyl thermochemistry compared to B3LYP and M06-2X. For details see the section Functional test in the ESI. A correlation-consistent Dunning cc-pVQZ basis set25–38 was used on all atoms, since this basis set type includes higher angular momentum basis functions as polarization components which allows to probe the eventual influence of d functions even for elements without valence d orbitals. Total and binding energies were found to be reasonably converged at this basis set size, for details see the section Basis set test in the ESI.† Convergence thresholds were set to 10−6 E0 for the total energy and 1.5 × 10−5 E0/a0 for the interatomic forces. In accordance with ref. 8 the structures were initialized in cubic (Oh) and square antiprismatic (D4d) geometry in triplet and singlet spin state, respectively, to find the correct ground state geometry. For comparison between different complexes, the average CO stretch frequencies were calculated from the eight CO stretching modes, whose reduced masses were found to deviate not more than 1% from that of free CO and were hence considered as sufficiently decoupled from the other degrees of freedom. Values for the CO stretch frequencies will be given as difference to the calculated CO stretch frequency of free carbon monoxide, ν0, which was found at 2279 cm−1 (exp.:39 2143 cm−1) and with a C=O bond length of 1.1193 Å (exp.:40 1.1282). The sometimes used scaling of calculated carbonyl frequencies by the ratio of free CO stretch frequency values from experiment and theory39 was omitted here, since we are only interested in the relative qualitative behavior of the CO stretch frequencies and not in comparisons to experimental values. To determine the influence of the metal d orbitals, the basis set on the central atom was successively truncated by removal of orbital sets with different radial extent and nodal structure from the basis set definition of Ca, Mg and Ti for the calculation of ground state energies, frequencies and electronic structure. The Bader charges and delocalization indices were computed with the Dgrid-4.7 program.42
We restrict ourselves to the detailed discussion of 18-electron octacarbonyl complexes, since these were found to be electronic potential energy surface minima with Mg, Ca and Ti\(^{2+}\) (even under basis set alterations) with our computational setup and also present more realistic model systems for this investigation than e.g., a simplistic single MCO unit which would not account for the effects of several ligands.

We also tested for the effect of dispersion corrections (via DFT-D3 correction\(^{44}\)) and basis set superposition errors\(^{44,45}\) (BSSE) and found both to be inconsequential for the conclusions drawn in this work. For details see section Dispersive and basis set superposition effects in the ESI.†

### 2.1. Molecular and electronic structure

The “honorary” and true TM complexes Ca(CO)\(_8\) and [Ti(CO)\(_8\)]\(^{2+}\) were found to exhibit a \(^1\)\(O_h\) ground state (in accordance to ref. 8), while for Mg(CO)\(_8\) the \(^1\)\(D_{4d}\) structure was found to be slightly more stable with the full, and significantly more stable with truncated cc-pVQZ basis sets (see section Influence of complex geometry in the ESI†). The MCO arrangement in the Mg carbonyl is slightly tilted with an angle of roughly 160°, while for Ca and Ti, a MCO angle of 180° is stable. The complex geometries are shown as insets in Fig. 2–4. It shall be noted at this point that the choice of stable octacarbonyl complex geometry (\(^1\)\(O_h\) vs. \(^1\)\(D_{4d}\)) does not drastically influence the results. We have found the same trends across the less stable \(^1\)\(O_h\) Mg(CO)\(_8\), \(^1\)\(D_{4d}\) Ca(CO)\(_8\) and \(^1\)\(D_{4d}\) [Ti(CO)\(_8\)]\(^{2+}\) systems as described in the following sections for the \(^1\)\(D_{4d}\) Mg(CO)\(_8\), \(^1\)\(O_h\) Ca(CO)\(_8\) and \(^1\)\(O_h\) [Ti(CO)\(_8\)]\(^{2+}\) complexes. For details see section Influence of complex geometry in the ESI†. We employ the molar formation energy (in kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) \(E_f\) of the octacarbonyl complexes as stability measure here, calculated as the sum of squared coefficients \(c_i^2\) of a certain basis function type \(i\) in the investigated MO, divided by the total sum of all coefficient squares of this MO (with basis function types \(m\) and atomic centers \(k, l\)) to express the basis function contribution \(\%i\) for this MO:

\[
\%i = \frac{\sum_{k,l} |c_{m,k}^i|^2}{\sum_{m,l} |c_{m,l}^i|^2} \times 100\% \quad \text{with } i \in \{m\}
\]

### 2.2. Mg(CO)\(_8\)

Although not a TM, our computations predict the magnesium octacarbonyl complex to be stable (see Table 1), but with about \(-29\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) bound significantly weaker than Ca(CO)\(_8\) and [Ti(CO)\(_8\)]\(^{2+}\). This formation energy does not account for any vibrational effects. We find that the inclusion of the zero-point energy (ZPE) correction leads to a destabilization of the complex by +31 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) (\(E_f^{ZPE} = +2\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\)), so that the complex would be slightly unstable and not observable under vibrations. However, here we are interested only in an isoelectronic non-TM reference case to study the relative effect of d basis functions on the metal carbonyl complex properties. Therefore we deem a local minimum of the electronic potential energy surface as sufficient to study general trends in vibrational frequencies, electronic structure and relative formation energy changes.

The full cc-pVQZ basis set for Mg contains six sets of \(s\), five of \(p\), three of \(d\), two of \(f\) and one set of \(g\) functions with different radial extent. Fig. 2 illustrates the changes in average CO stretch frequencies and binding energies for different degrees of truncation of the cc-pVQZ basis.

Unsurprisingly, the binding energy increases with the basis set size, especially an increasing number of d character and higher-l functions has a stabilizing effect on the Mg(CO)\(_8\) complex. This can be mainly attributed to the beneficial effect of more diffuse functions on the weakly bound complex, as binding energies

### Table 1  Complex geometries, metal Bader charges, CO stretch frequency changes (relative to free CO), complex formation energies and M-C/C-O delocalization indices for Mg(CO)\(_8\) (\(D_{4d}\)), Ca(CO)\(_8\) (\(O_h\)) and [Ti(CO)\(_8\)]\(^{2+}\) (\(O_h\)) complexes obtained with M06-2X/cc-pVQZ and upon truncation of the metal basis sets after the \(p\) and \(d\) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>(r(M-C) [\text{Å}])</th>
<th>(r(C-O) [\text{Å}])</th>
<th>(q(M) [\text{[e]}])</th>
<th>(\nu(M)\text{-}[\text{CO}](\text{[cm}^{-1}\text{]})</th>
<th>(E_f [\text{kJ mol}^{-1})</th>
<th>(D(M-C))</th>
<th>(D(C-O))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg(CO)(_8)</td>
<td>2.4170</td>
<td>1.1271</td>
<td>+1.65</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.0420</td>
<td>0.8225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(CO)(_8)</td>
<td>2.6137</td>
<td>1.1274</td>
<td>+1.75</td>
<td>145.0</td>
<td>0.0522</td>
<td>0.8305</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ti(CO)(_8)](^{2+})</td>
<td>2.2941</td>
<td>1.1262</td>
<td>+3.49</td>
<td>310.1</td>
<td>0.8557</td>
<td>0.8575</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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steadily increase by a significant amount with each set of d functions introduced (in order of their radial extent). Besides this, as can be seen from the insets in Fig. 1, the valence orbitals change qualitatively very little by introduction of d functions. For the full basis, the main contributions to the frontier orbitals are s-type functions with 78.8% s(Mg) in the highest $a_1$ orbital and 10.17% s(Mg) in the lower one, in contrast to only 0.8 and 0.2% d(Mg), respectively, while p components play no role. This in accordance to the expected low admixture of d functions from high-energy Mg atomic orbitals. The remaining molecular orbitals have low contributions from the Mg central atom. In ref. 8, an energy decomposition analysis-natural orbitals for chemical valence (EDA-NOCV) method was used to distinguish the type of contribution to binding of these orbitals in $D_{4d}$ octacarbonyl complexes of higher group II metals (Ca, Ba, Sr). The $a_1$ HOMO was attributed the M(d)-to-CO p backbonding, while the remaining orbitals corresponded to either σ donation or CO polarization (e.g.). In the case of the Mg complex investigated here the CO ligands are slightly tilted from the highly symmetric 180° MCO bond, such that interactions between the Mg center and π CO orbitals are enabled by overlap of one of each CO π* orbital lobes with the Mg s orbital and of π* orbital lobes among each other. It is evident from Fig. 2 and Table 1 that the CO stretch frequencies change only minimally with increasing basis size, the same is true for the ionicity of the Mg–CO interaction with Bader charges and DI s varying only slightly. As can be seen in Table 1, there is a clear red shift (~ 130 cm$^{-1}$) of the CO stretch frequencies in the Mg(CO)$_8$ complex and an increase in the C–O bond lengths, although there are no metal d functions “available” to form a π-type M–C bond. It is also noteworthy that the previously found mode of metal p π bonding to CO in linear MCO complexes does not apply here due to the lack of Mg p contributions to the HOMO. The M–CO bond has a high degree of ionicity with a Mg Bader charge close to +1.7 (as opposed to a formal oxidation state of ±0, since the Mg-assigned highest $a_1$ is doubly occupied) and only 0.04 electrons delocalized between Mg and C basins. Destabilization of the carbon–oxygen bond can be explained by the charge transfer from the Mg central atom to the CO ligand (cf. the CO stretch frequency in a free CO$^-$ anion is lower than for the neutral species, with our computational setup a red shift of 1744 cm$^{-1}$ and a C–O distance of 1.210 Å was obtained upon negatively charging CO). In terms of orbital interactions, this could be rationalized by the population of CO π* orbitals through a Mg s σ-“backbonding” from the formal Mg$^+$ central atom, weakening the carbon–oxygen bond.

![Fig. 1](image1.png)

**Fig. 1** Outer valence MO schemes for the $D_{4d}$ complex Mg(CO)$_8$ (left) and the $O_h$ complexes Ca(CO)$_8$ and [Ti(CO)$_8$]$^{2+}$ (right). The insets show the corresponding isosurfaces (isovalue 0.03 $e^{1/2}/a_0^{3/2}$) of the orbitals, where the blue boxes (left columns) correspond to MOs with the full cc-pVQZ basis set with s, p, d and higher polarization functions and the orange boxes (right columns) to the ones with a truncated basis containing only the full sets of s and p functions. In the cases of orbital degeneracies, only one of the orbitals is shown as isosurface plot to illustrate the main features of the corresponding MO.
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**Fig. 2** Binding energies (right axis, blue bars) and CO stretch frequencies (left axis, red bars) of the Mg(CO)$_8$ complex with changing degrees of basis set truncation. CO stretch frequencies are given as difference value to the computed free CO stretch frequency (2279 cm$^{-1}$). The complex geometry is shown in the inset.
2.3. Ca(CO)\textsubscript{8}

As can be seen in Table 1, the octacarbonyl calcium complex is predicted to be more than ten times more stable than the corresponding magnesium complex, which is in agreement with the observation of higher group II octacarbonyl complexes, but not of the lighter alkaline earth elements. The \textit{cc-pVQZ} basis set for Ca contains seven sets of \textit{s}, six of \textit{p}, four of \textit{d}, two of \textit{f} and one of \textit{g} orbitals. The change of binding energies and average CO stretch frequencies with different degrees of basis truncation is illustrated in Fig. 3.

The inclusion of \textit{d} functions has a distinct stabilizing effect on the Ca(CO)\textsubscript{8} complex, more than doubling the complex formation energy. This occurs directly after introduction of one pair of \textit{d} functions, while further \textit{d} and higher polarization functions contribute only slightly to an additional stabilization of the complex \cite{22} the relative change between the complex formation energies of Ca\{7s,6p,1d\}(CO)\textsubscript{8} and Ca\{7s,6p,4d,2f,1g\}(CO)\textsubscript{8} amounts to just 1\%.

Firstly, this shows the high importance of (specifically) metal \textit{d} functions and the formation of M–C \pi bonds on carbonyl complex stability. In analogy to a previous work by Bauschlicher Jr. \textit{et al.} on \textit{s} and \textit{p} function influence in TM carbonyls\textsuperscript{22}, the basis set truncation effect presents a limit for the combined \sigma-donation/\pi-backdonation effect in this complex, which is a stabilization by 159 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1} and a 25 cm\textsuperscript{-1} blue shift. With a truncated 7s, 6p basis set on Ca, the main contributions to Ca–CO bonding stem from the lowest-lying \textit{a}\textsubscript{1g} and \textit{t}\textsubscript{1u} orbitals which have 24\%Ca and 9\%p(Ca), respectively, while the remaining orbitals lack any contribution from Ca-centered functions. Bonding is mediated by metal \textit{s} and \textit{p} orbitals, and the Bader charge analysis suggests a strong ionization of Ca and charge density transfer to the CO ligands from the central atom. In fact, the formal oxidation state of Ca in the complexes without \textit{d} functions corresponds to +2, making the interactions formally an ionic interaction with (CO)\textsubscript{8}\textsuperscript{2−} without any direct \sigma-donation/\pi backbonding to the ligand complex. With the full \textit{cc-pVQZ} basis set on the other hand, significant contributions of Ca \textit{d} functions are obtained for the \textit{t}\textsubscript{1g} and \textit{e}\textsubscript{g} orbitals with 14 and 33\%d(Ca), respectively. As discussed previously, this is not surprising since the Ca \textit{d} functions (from low-lying unoccupied Ca atomic orbitals) readily hybridize with the Ca \textit{s} orbitals resulting in a lowered energy of the complex, other than in e.g., Mg(CO)\textsubscript{8} with its high-energy \textit{d} states of the metal atom. The \textit{e}\textsubscript{g} SOMOs show a clear \pi character (see Fig. 2), and have been previously assigned the \pi backbonding from metal to CO by EDA-NOCV in the work of Wu \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{8} Interestingly, as can be seen in Fig. 3 and Table 1, the red shift of the CO stretch frequencies and C–O bond elongation does not depend on the occurrence of metal–CO \pi bonds. Moreover, the CO stretch frequencies increase slightly with the formation of M–CO \pi bonds. This correlates with the decreasing ionicity of the bond (see Table 1), since the \textit{d} contributions to the SOMO and SOMO–2 level states increase the population on Ca, shifting electron density back from the CO ligands to Ca (a total of 0.3 \textit{e} as found by Bader analysis), and increasing the covalency of the M–C bond as indicated by an increasing DI(M–C). The linearity of the MCO bonds causes zero overlap between the metal center \textit{s} and CO \pi* orbitals, which makes the basis-truncated Ca(CO)\textsubscript{8} complex the limiting case for complete charge transfer into CO \pi* orbitals. While the computed correlation between metal–ligand charge transfer and dropping CO stretch frequencies cannot preclude the influence of polarization, and no distinction between the influence of \sigma donation and \pi backdonation can be made here in the usual way, we can still state the simple yet counter-intuitive insight that the admixture of Ca \textit{d} states in Ca(CO)\textsubscript{8} is not the inherent reason for the occurrence of a CO red shift in these complexes, but merely a stabilizing factor of the overall complex. Ca \textit{d} functions mitigate the red shift by an effect similar to the previously reported charge self-regulation of TMs\textsuperscript{48},\textsuperscript{50} retaining some population of Ca states. We want to emphasize at this point that from the molecular orbitals of the non-\textit{d} case no “synergistic” \sigma-donation/\pi-backbonding mechanism can be deduced, but rather an occupation of CO ligand group orbitals stemming from the combination of CO \pi* orbitals, causing a more severe predicted red shift than for the \textit{d}-included case in which electrons are transferred back to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (+2 refers here to the formal oxidation state of Ca in the non-\textit{d} complex, as opposed to ±0 if the full \textit{cc-pVQZ} basis set is used on Ca). The contributions of Ca \textit{d} states which lead to a FOS of ±0 do not however reverse a significant charge transfer from Ca to the ligands (Bader charge of +1.45 with vs. +1.75 without \textit{d} functions) – the Ca atom is oxidized in spite of being in a zero formal oxidation state. This highlights the fact that the FOS is not actually defined with respect to oxidation but is rather a way to define and count bonds based on non-observable functions. Failures of FOS to describe redox phenomena have been reported before;\textsuperscript{48–53} here we see a rather severe failure.

2.4. [Ti(CO)\textsubscript{8}]\textsuperscript{2+}

As a true TM carbonyl complex, the 18-electron [Ti(CO)\textsubscript{8}]\textsuperscript{2+} exhibits the highest stability among the three investigated complexes, more than three times more stable than the Ca
and 30 times more stable than the Mg octacarbonyl complexes. The cc-pVQZ basis set on Ti has eight sets of s, seven of p, five of d, three of f, two of g and one of h functions. \([\text{Ti(CO)}_8]^{2+}\) is found to be a non-classical carbonyl complex, with the CO stretch frequencies being blue shifted by 86 cm\(^{-1}\). As can be seen in Fig. 4, the blue shift is dependent on the presence of d functions and for the truncated basis sets without d and higher-l contributions a CO red shift of about 20 cm\(^{-1}\) was found instead.

Similarly to the octacarbonyl calcium complex, the alleviation (or in this case reversal) of the CO stretch frequency red shift can be correlated to the formation of stronger metal–CO (\(\pi\)) bonds that lead to a back-transfer of charge density from CO to the TM, reducing the ionization of CO (and hence lowering the CO \(\pi^*\) population), as can be seen from the Bader charges and DIs in Table 1. The Bader charges change from +3.5 \(|e|\) in the non-d case to +1.7 \(|e|\) with the full cc-pVQZ basis set (roughly corresponding to the formal oxidation states of Ti of +4 and +2 in these systems), while the M–C DI increases (indicating more electrons from both basins engaged in bonding) and the C–O DI decreases (indicating a decreasing degree of covalent bonding). When removing all d and higher polarization functions on Ti, the only states in Fig. 1 with contributions from the central atom are \(t_{2u}\) orbitals with 14%p(Ti). If Ti d orbitals and higher-l functions are included, the SOMO level becomes predominantly Ti-d (95%d(Ti)), which explains the charge transfer of almost two electrons from CO to Ti when d functions are included, as found from the Bader analysis. Similar to the Ca case, the formation of metal–CO \(\pi\) bonds leads to a substantial stabilization of the complex by a factor of roughly two. It might seem counterintuitive that the complex formation energies decrease with the inclusion of more diffuse functions of same angular momentum as can be seen in Fig. 4 for the p- (and to a lesser extent for the d-) truncated basis sets, since larger and more diffuse basis functions are normally expected to give rise to more stable binding, but this can be explained by the massive destabilization of the Ti\(^{2+}\) cation reference by the (unphysical) omission of d (and polarization) functions which counteracts the complex stabilization (cf. eqn (1)). As previously seen for Ca, the inclusion of higher-l functions has only a minor effect on complex formation energies and it is the occurrence of d-type functions that leads to a significant increase in stability, higher CO stretch frequencies and shorter C–O bonds in TM and TM-like carbonyl complexes (see Table 1) compared to their d function-free counterparts. While M–C \(\pi\) bonds have been already discussed as main factor of TM carbonyl complex stabilities in literature,\(^{54,55}\) the red shift of CO ligands is still commonly associated with the formation of M–C \(\pi\) bonds enabled by TM d functions. As can be seen here, this is not precisely the case, but it is rather caused by contributions to bonding that do not require M–C \(\pi\) bonds.

3. Conclusions

We theoretically investigated Mg(CO)\(_8\), Ca(CO)\(_8\) and \([\text{Ti(CO)}_8]\)^{2+} complexes by the means of density functional theory. We used a simple basis set truncation to unambiguously discern the contributions of metal basis functions with different nodal structure (as well as different radial extent) to electronic structure, energetics and geometry of these complexes and therefore the dependence of these factors on central atom orbitals. The conclusion we can draw from our investigation is threefold:

1. Central atom d functions play a crucial role in the stabilization of TM and TM-like carbonyl complexes relative to non-TM carbonyls. This might be the main reason for the commonly observed instability of C-bound main group metal carbonyl complexes (with exception of e.g., higher group II elements).\(^{56}\) Also, our computations suggest that Mg(CO)\(_8\) would be indeed unstable under inclusion of zero-point vibrations.

2. Charge transfer from the central atom on the ligands in metal carbonyl complexes is not dependent on metal d functions and the formation of M–C \(\pi\) bonds which are often used to rationalize the bonding in this kind of complexes. Charge transfer relates here to charge density transfer, but is also applicable to formal oxidation states. Absence (e.g., in Mg) or omission (by basis set truncation) of metal d-function contributions leads to a metal–CO interaction with pronounced ionic character, and M–C \(\pi\) bonds on the other hand lead to an increasing covalent character as can be deduced from Bader charges and delocalization indices.

3. The CO stretch frequency decrease in metal carbonyl bonds is not dependent on d functions. Presence of d functions and the formation of M–C \(\pi\) bonds increase the covalency of the metal–CO interaction and lead to a charge transfer from CO to the metal center that reduces the (excess) charge density on CO and generally leads to stronger C–O bonds than in the more ionic case without metal d functions. While typical orbital-based energy decomposition analyses like EDA-NOCV are sensitive to the choice of proper fragment reference states as just recently pointed out with regard to the Ca(CO)\(_8\) complex,\(^{24}\)
we argue that a basis set truncation unambiguously shows whether or not a certain fragment orbital presence is necessary to observe e.g., carbonyl red shifts or high complex stabilities.

We want to emphasize at this point that our approach is fundamentally different from previous investigations on metal–CO bonding, since we do not try to simultaneously differentiate between the contributions of different orbital types within the same system, but rather contrast the properties of an electronically relaxed system with significant d function influence with an (artificial) electronically relaxed reference system that lacks these contributions. We argue that this approach might be physically more meaningful since it does not require the analysis of fragment interactions or orbitals themselves but rather compares well-defined properties (with the tradeoff of not so well-defined systems). We also want to point out that, in accordance to previous findings, we are able to reproduce the correlation between CO stretch frequencies and real-space charge transfer (here in the form of Bader charges and DIs), which once more elegantly provides an avenue to rationalize even minor modulations of CO frequencies that the concept of formal oxidation states cannot provide and seems in general better applicable for the explanation of phenomena. The formal oxidation state of the metal in Mg(CO)₆ stays ±0, switches from +2 to ±0 in Ca(CO)₆ and switches from +4 to +2 in [Ti(CO)₆]²⁺ without and with inclusion of metal d functions, respectively, implying that the character of the CO ligand changes from charge acceptor (without metal d functions and π backbonding) to neutral σ donor (with metal d functions and π backbonding). We want to once more highlight the quantitative and even qualitative discrepancy of formal oxidation states and real-space charge transfer, with the latter being the more sensitive (and physically well-defined) measure to match experimental observations related to charge transfer in a meaningful manner. This is exemplified well in the case of Ca(CO)₆, where a zero-FOS metal shows a severe depletion of charge density around the nucleus and, moreover, a basis set truncation that decreases the formally assigned number of metal electrons by the number of two affects the charge density distribution only slightly (Δq = 0.35 [e]), highlighting not only the quantitative but severe qualitative deficiency of the FOS approach to rationalization of redox phenomena.
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